

Masses of Radiation Pressure Supported Stars in Extreme Relativistic Realm

Abhas Mitra

Theoretical Astrophysics Section, BARC, Mumbai-400085, India
 email: amitra@barc.gov.in

Abstract. We discuss that in the extreme relativistic limit, i.e., when $z \gg 1$, where z is the surface gravitational redshift, there could be radiation pressure supported and dominated stars with arbitrary gravitational mass, high or low. Such Objects are called Eternally Collapsing Objects (ECOs). ECOs are practically as compact as Schwarzschild Black Holes (BH) and, observationally, are likely to be mistaken as BHs. Further since any object undergoing continued collapse must first become an ECO before becoming a true BH state characterized by $M = 0$, the observed BH Candidates are ECOs.

Keywords. gravitation, black holes, eternally collapsing objects

1. Introduction

Let the ratio of radiation pressure to gas pressure in a star be $x = p_r/p_g$, and let the ratio of energy densities of radiation and rest mass be $y = \rho_r/\rho_0$. Then it follows that, within a factor of 2.0, $y \sim \alpha z$, where $\alpha = L/L_{ed}$ (Mitra 2006a). Here L is the luminosity of the star and L_{ed} is the maximal or Eddington luminosity of the same. It is also seen that α increase with z and saturates to a maximal value of $\alpha \approx 1$. The conventional known “stars” have $z \ll 1$, i.e., they are Newtonian objects. In such a case $x \approx 0.2(M/M_\odot)^{1/2} \mu^{-1}$ where μ is the chemical composition and $M_\odot$ is solar mass (Mitra 2006b). In order to have a “radiation pressure supported star”, one must have $x \gg 1$. Then the above equation demands that $M \gg M_\odot$. Such stars are known as (Newtonian) Supermassive Stars. But this picture changes dramatically when we allow for the likely occurrence of extreme relativistic situations with $z \gg 1$ when (Mitra 2006b)

$$x \approx 0.25 z \left(\frac{M}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \mu^{-1} \quad (1.1)$$

Because of the presence of z on the RHS, now a radiation supported quasistatic state ($x \gg 1$) can occur for arbitrary value of M . For instance an object with $M = 10^{10} M_\odot$ with a value of $z = 100$ will have $x \gg 1$ and on the other hand, a fireball of energy 1 TeV created by two colliding elementary particles in a lab collider with $M = 10^{-43} M_\odot$ could attain a radiation dominated quasistatic state with $z > 10^{25}$! In both the cases, the radius of the object would be practically same as those of corresponding (supposed) BHs. Such a large value of z may sound unphysical. But, actually, it is not so because continued collapse is supposed to proceed to a true BH state with $z = \infty$ and all finite numbers including 10^{25} are *infinitely smaller than* ∞ . It has been also shown that, as physical gravitational collapse must be radiative (Mitra 2006c) and z keeps on increasing relentlessly during continued collapse, the quanta of collapse generated radiation quanta must eventually move in *almost* closed circular orbits and be quasitrapped even before an *absolute* trapping would occur by the formation of trapped surfaces or Event Horizon

(EH). It is this quasitrapping of radiation which causes $x \gg 1$ and also $y \gg 1$. Since such states correspond to $\alpha \approx 1$, ECOs are formed much before a true BH state ($z = \infty$) would be formed. Since the life time of this relativistic radiation dominated state is $t = 5.10^8 (1 + z)$ yr and $t \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ ECOs are *eternally collapsing* (Mitra 2006d).

2. Discussion

As the ECOs evolve, their EOS $\rho \rightarrow 3p$ and the gravitational mass $M = \int (\rho - 3p) d\Omega \rightarrow 0$ where $d\Omega$ is proper volume element (Mitra 2006e). Consequently, the eventual BH mass $M = 0$ and thus the observed BHs with $M > 0$, either discussed in this conferences or elsewhere, cannot be true BHs and must be ECOs. This conclusion that true BHs have $M \equiv 0$ has been also obtained by using the basic differential geometry property that proper spacetime 4-volume is coordinate independent (Mitra 2006f; Mitra 2005) and non-occurrence of trapped surfaces and EH (Mitra 2002, Mitra 2004). Since astrophysical plasma is endowed with intrinsic magnetic field the super compact ECOs must have strong intrinsic magnetic fields and hence they are also called Magnetospheric ECOs or MECOs. In contrast a true uncharged BH with an EH has no intrinsic magnetic field. Thus MECOs can be observationally distinguished from true BHs by using this physical property. And there are strong circumstantial evidences that the BH Candidates in (i) X-ray binaries (Robertson & Leiter 2002, Robertson & Leiter 2003, Robertson & Leiter 2004) or the (ii) the compact object at the galactic centre (Robertson & Leiter 2006) are MECOs with physical surfaces rather than any EH. Most importantly, detailed microlensing aided mapping of the central engine of the one of the most well studied quasar has directly revealed that it is a MECO and not a BH (Schild, Leiter, & Robertson 2006). The observed magnetic field of MECOs will however be smaller by a factor of $(1 + z)$ and could be well below pulsar values. Because of joint effect of gravitational redshift and time dilatation, the observed luminosity of the ECOs would be also extremely small, $L = 1.3 \times 10^{38} (M/M_\odot) (1 + z)^{-1}$ erg/s even though they are shining at their respective Eddington values. This feeble quiescent ECO quiescent radiation could be in the microwave range and is yet to be detected for any individual case. Since ECO formation is a generic effect due to inevitable quasi-trapping of collapse generated radiation, continued collapse cannot give rise to any mysterious phase transition resulting in negative pressure or “gravstars”. Since Chandrasekhar mass limit pertains to *cold* objects, it is irrelevant for *hot* ECOs whose local mean temperature is $T = 600(M/M_\odot)^{-1/2}$ MeV.

References

- Mitra, A. 2006a, *MNRAS Lett.* 367, L66, gr-qc/0601025
- Mitra, A. 2006b, *MNRAS* 369, 492, gr-qc/0603055
- Mitra, A. 2006c, *PRD* 74, 024010, gr-qc/0605066
- Mitra, A. 2006d, *New Astronomy* 12, 146, astro-ph/0608178
- Mitra, A. 2006e, *Cornell Univ. Electronic Preprint Arxiv*, gr-qc/0607087
- Mitra, A. 2006f, *Advan. Sp. Res.*, 38(12), p. 2917, astro-ph/0510162
- Mitra, A. 2005, *Cornell Univ. Electronic Preprint Arxiv*, physics/0504076
- Mitra, A. 2002, *Found. Phys. Lett.* 15, 439, astro-ph/0207056
- Mitra, A. 2004, *Cornell Univ. Electronic Preprint Arxiv*, astro-ph/0408323
- Robertson, S.L. & Leiter, D.J. 2002, *ApJ* 565, 447, astro-ph/0102381
- Robertson, S.L. & Leiter, D.J. 2003, *ApJL* 596, L203, astro-ph/0310078
- Robertson, S.L. & Leiter, D.J. 2004, *MNRAS* 350, 1391, astro-ph/0402445
- Robertson, S.L. & Leiter, D.J. 2006, *Cornell Univ. Electronic Preprint Arxiv* astro-ph/0603746

Scild, R.E., Leiter, D.J., & Robertson, S.L. 2006, *AJ* 132, 420, astro-ph/0505518